

A consultation on fees under the Licensing Act 2003

Variable fee amounts: the national non-domestic rateable value “bands”

Consultation Question 1

Do you agree or disagree that the use of national non-domestic rateable value bands as a criterion for variable fee amounts should be abandoned?

- Agree

If you agree skip to question 3

Consultation Question 3

Do you agree or disagree that the criterion of whether or not a premises is authorised to provide licensable activities to a late terminal hour is linked to costs?

- Agree

Consultation Question 4

If you agree, please provide evidence for your answer, keeping your views to a maximum of 200 words.

- The majority of complaints relate to noise and disturbance late at night. Paying people to work out of hours – additional costs – double manning – out of hours log ons. Costly committee hearings more likely with applications for late terminal hours

Consultation Question 5

Do you agree or disagree that the criterion of whether or not a premises is authorised to provide licensable activities to a late terminal hour is sufficiently practical to implement?

- Agree

If you agree, skip to question 7.

Consultation Question 7

Do you agree or disagree that the licensing authority should be able to determine the hours during which the higher fee is payable within the boundaries of midnight to 6am?

- Disagree

Consultation Question 8

If you disagree, please state the hours during which you think licensing authorities should be able to determine that a higher fee is payable.

- 11pm to 6am

Consultation Question 9

Do you agree or disagree that licensing authorities that impose higher fees for premises which open later should have discretion to exclude premises that are authorised to open late only on certain nights per year?

- Agree

Consultation Question 10

Please state your reasons, keeping your views to a maximum of 200 words

- Those that only open late on a limited number of special occasions per year are unlikely to generate the same costs for the licensing authority as a premise that opens late all year round.

Consultation Question 11

Do you agree or disagree that the criterion of whether or not a premises is used primarily for the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises is linked to the costs?

- Agree

Consultation Question 12

Please provide evidence for your answer in the box below, keeping your views to a maximum of 200 words.

- For example high volume vertical drinking establishments tend to generate more costs for authority than restaurants with alcohol sales being as an ancillary to food.

Consultation Question 13

Do you agree or disagree that the criterion of whether or not premises are exclusively or primarily used for the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises is sufficiently practical to implement?

- Disagree

Consultation Question 14

If you do not agree, please state your reasons in the box below, keeping your views to a maximum of 200 words.

- It needs to be clear as most pubs/clubs tend to provide food and would argue that provision of alcohol for consumption on premises is not their primary use.
- This would need to be clearly defined to ensure that time and expense was not incurred by licensing authorities and the trade arguing as to whether they fall within the definition or not.
- It would be a matter of degree therefore you and be asking licensing officers to judge degree, this may cause confusion.

Consultation Question 15

Do you agree or disagree that there should be discretion to apply higher fee amounts only where both criteria apply in combination?

- Agree

Consultation Question 16

Do you agree or disagree that, if a licensing authority has determined that different fee amounts should apply, it should have discretion to exclude certain types of premises from that higher fee amount?

- Agree

Consultation Question 17

If discretion to exclude certain types of premises from a higher fee amount were available, that types of premises should be specified in the regulations as potentially excluded classes? Please give reasons for your answer, keeping your views to a maximum of 200 words.

- Community premises operated on a 'not for profit' basis such as village halls, church halls and community halls. (those that can dis-apply the DPS requirements)

Consultation Question 18

Are there alternative options that should be available to licensing authorities to apply different fee amounts in their area?

- The likelihood of costs being incurred. Complaints/hearings rises in line with late terminal hours.
- A premises closing at 11pm should therefore pay less than one opening until midnight and so bands could be set based on terminal hour for licensable activities – for example
 - Band A – up to 11pm £200
 - Band B - 11pm to 1am £ 500
 - Band C - later than 1am (up to 24 hours) £1000
 - 50% discount for certain classes such as Community Premises.

Consultation Question 19

Do you agree or disagree that the proposed cap levels will enable your licensing authority to recover costs?

Question	Fee Category	Proposed Cap	Current or maximum fee (for information only)	Agree/disagree/don't know
19(a)	Application for the grant of a premises licence	£2,400	£1,905*	Agree
19(b)	Application for a provisional statement	£2,400	£315	Agree
19(c)	Application to vary a premises licence	£2,400	£1,905*	Agree
19(d)	Application to vary premises licence to specify designated premises supervisor	£105	£23	Agree
19(e)	Application to vary a premises licence to remove requirement for a designated premises supervisor	£105	£23	Agree
19(f)	Application for the transfer of a premises licence	£65	£23	Disagree – should be as DPS (£105)
19(g)	Interim authority notice	£114	£23	Agree
19(h)	Annual fee payable by premises licence holder	£740	£1,050*	Agree
19(i)	Application for the grant of a certificate	£2,400	£635*	Agree
19(j)	Application to vary a certificate	£2,400	£635*	Agree
19(k)	Annual fee payable by club premises certificate holder	£720	£350*	Agree
19(l)	Application for grant or renewal of a personal licence	£114	£37	Agree
Other processes under the 2003 act				
19(m)	Application to replace stolen, lost etc. premises licence	£46	£10.50	Agree
19(n)	Notification of change of name or address of premises licence holder	£46	£10.50	Agree
19(o)	Application for minor variation of a licence	£244	£89	Agree

19(p)	Application to replace stolen, lost etc. certificate	£46	£10.50	Agree
19(q)	Notification of change of name or change of rules of club	£46	£10.50	Agree
19(r)	Notification of change address of club	£46	£10.50	Agree
19(s)	Application to replace stolen, lost etc. temporary event notice	£38	£10.50	Agree
19(t)	Application to replace stolen, lost etc. personal licence	£59	£10.50	Agree
19(u)	Notification of change of name or address of personal licence holder	£59	£10.50	Agree
19(v)	Notification of interest of freeholder etc. in premises	£50	£21	Agree

*Denotes current maximum fee, where fee level is variable

Consultation Question 20:

Do you have any other comments on the proposed cap levels?

- No other comments

Consultation Question 21

Do you agree or disagree that the proposed cap of £100 will enable your licensing authority to recover costs?

- Agree

Consultation Question 22

Please set out evidence for your answer in the box below, keeping your views to a maximum of 200 words.

- Hearings for TENs are relatively rare and are usually an admin procedure only, £100 should cover costs.

Licensing authority costs, transparency, consultation with fee payers and guidance on setting fees

Consultation Question 23

Do you agree or disagree that licensing authorities be required, before locally-set fees are implemented, to;

- 23a Publish their proposed fee levels?
 - Agree
- 23b Publish the basis on which they have been calculated?
 - Agree
- 23c Publish the measures they have taken to keep costs down?
 - Agree
- 23d Invite comments from interested parties?
 - Agree

Consultation Question 24

What practical steps can licensing authorities take to secure efficiency?

- The licensing authorities in Worcestershire have already taken steps to efficiency by bringing together their licensing functions which are delivered by a shared service “WRS”
- Mediation is always attempted to avoid unnecessary costs from hearings and reviews.

Consultation Question 25

Do you agree or disagree that the Guidance should suggest that these areas present a particular risk of excessive costs or gold-plating?

- Agree
- 25a Notification of residents individually of licensing applications in their area by letter (given that the existing duties to advertise on the premises and on the licensing authorities’ website enable the involvement of local residents, and that more cost efficient methods of further engagement may be available);
 - Agree
- 25b Central re-charges, such as payments from the licensing budget to legal services or external communications. These should relate to costs actually incurred in the delivery of functions under the 2003 Act and not, for example, a standard percentage of central costs.
 - Agree
- 25c The costs of discharging the statutory functions of licensing authorities that arise under other legislation, such as the duties arising under the Environmental Protection Act 1990. (Given that these functions are funded through taxation, and should not be funded by fees under the 2003 Act merely because they arise in respect of premises that hold an authorisation under the 2003 Act)
 - Agree

Consultation Question 26

Do you think that there are other activities that may present a particular risk of excessive costs or gold-plating?

- No

A single national payment date for annual fees

Consultation Question 27

Do you agree or disagree that there should be a single national payment date for annual fees in England and Wales?

- Agree

Impact assessment

Consultation Question 28

Do you think that the impact Assessments related to the consultation provide an accurate representation of the costs and benefits of the proposal to move to locally-set fees (including, in particular, the costs of setting fees locally)?

- Agree